

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,240	08/27/2003	Andreas Muhe	19232.0011U1	6388	
23859 7	590 03/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ROSENBERG, P.C.		KUNEMUND, ROBERT M		
SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTR	EEE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, G	A 30309-3915		1765		

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- (///-</del>
	10/650,240	MUHE, ANDREAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Robert M Kunemund	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT latute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communicat  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		•	
	 This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received.  nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB</li> </ul>		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		CD

Application/Control Number: 10/650,240

Art Unit: 1765

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Young et al (5,169,486).

The Young reference teaches a method and an apparatus for a vertical Bridgman crystal growth, note entire reference. A crucible is placed in the core of a heater. The crucible is moved through the core. The heater can be separated into different sections, which operate separately, note col. 4. There are thermocouples, which are placed in different spots in the heater to measure temperatures at the central axis of the crucible, note figure 1. The information from the thermocouples is sent to a means, which in turns regulate and controls the heaters.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al.

The Young et al reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims position of the thermocouples. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 1765

determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable placement of the thermocouples in the Young et al reference in order to increase control of the heater and hence increase uniformity of the heating in the crucible creating a better crystal.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al.

The Young et al reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the method of regulating temperature. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable method of regulating in the Young et al reference in order to create a melt and crystal growth front with no variations due to temperatures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,240

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMK

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER Page 4